

we did, how can he say that it is in contradiction with the Oath of allegiance? There is another point, the Hon'ble Home Minister said that we were taking the business of the house outside in the lawn. It is a correct statement? It is quite wrong. We have not undertaken any business of this House on the lawn. Does he mean to say that to sit there, to squat there and bring to the notice of this House and Government through this House some of our grievances and some of our aspirations as taking the business of this House to the lawns?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Sunthanker will kindly resume his seat. By what he is saying, I must have to call upon the Hon'ble Minister to reply so that he must say how his reasoning is correct and the Member's reasoning is wrong. I am not allowing that.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—What incorrect statement I am making? Let me know, Sir.

Speaker's Statement re : Treatment Afforded to A. L. C. Member.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He may kindly resume his seat. Now I have to deal with the matter raised by Sri Lakkappa. On a prior occasion, the Hon'ble Member Sri Lakkappa gave notice of two privilege motions. The first one related to the Hon'ble Member of the Council being not treated fairly in the Hospital. Another matter about which he give notice relates to certain police officer having touched his body, manhandled him or obstructed him when he was coming to the Vidhana Soudha. These two are matters of privilege. Under the rules, no member is entitled to present two matters of privilege on a single day. The member has to choose the first one or the second one. If he leave it to me, I will choose and then deal with it. He cannot have both at the same time. The first one relates to Sri Anjanappa a sitting Member of the Upper House and the second one relates to the Member himself. According to the rules, I can deal with the first. I am not competent to deal with the second because when he gave notice of the first, he has exhausted his right under the rules, and so the second one I am unable to entertain now. First I will deal on the merits. So far as that is concerned, there is no regular motion and it cannot become a regular motion until I have given consent. I cannot given consent to a motion of privilege in respect of that incident. That incident has already been dealt with by the Hon'ble Minister for Health. It relates to certain breach of privilege or alleged breach of privilege by a Member of the Legislative Council not being given proper treatment to which he was entitled. In the first place, the amenities that a Member is entitled to is not a matter of privilege, and facilities for certain other purposes, social or other purposes are not matters of privilege. Premising for the sake of argument that it does come within the ambit of privilege, the member concerned is a Member of the other House. Privilege is not a matter which a Member carries

(MR. SPEAKER)

with him wherever he goes ; privilege is more a matter attached to the House and it is the House of which he is a member that should try to protect that privilege. Even in that light, the privilege is that of the member of the other House. If we approach it in another fashion, namely if it is to be enquired into, who should enquire into it ? It may have to be enquired into by the privilege Committee of the other House. I have no jurisdiction over that committee. I cannot refer this matter enquiry by that Committee nor that Committee can submit its report to this House, nor this House can deal with that report. From all these points, it is perfectly clear that this privilege is not a privilege which can ever be raised on the Floor of this House. Therefore, the request that is made by the Member is not capable of being accepted.

SRI G. V. GOWDA—What about the second privilege motion ?

MR. SPEAKER.—It falls. Now laying of papers on the Table of the House.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—On behalf of the Minister for Revenue, I lay a copy of the Notification No. RD. 17 LRY 66 dated 31st March, 1966 (Exemptions from the provisions of Sections 80 and 81A of the Mysore and Land Reforms Act 1961) as required under section 140 of the Mysore Land Reforms Act, 1961.

2-30 P.M.

SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Finance)—Sri, I beg to lay on the table the Appropriation Accounts of the State of Mysore for the year 1964-65, the Audit Report 1966 and the Finance Accounts for the year 1964-65, in pursuance of clause (2) of article 151 of the Constitution of India.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Notification and the finance Accounts are laid on the Table of the House.

13th REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

Presentation.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER (SRI A. R. PANOHAGAVI).—Sir, I beg to present the 13th Report of the Committee on Private Members Bills and Resolutions.